

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL HESSE,

No. 2:21-cv-1931 WBS KJN P

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 08, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties, and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 61) are adopted in full;
2. The September 29, 2023 motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 46) is granted;
3. Defendants County of Sacramento, Lynn Billet, Phoebe Food, and Dr. Andrew Ho are dismissed from this action with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); and

Dated: March 21, 2024

William H. Shamba

WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

/hess21cv1931.801